## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Autonomous vehicle top speed is a function of sensor / Al reliability
- AVs are unlikely to travel above 70 mph for cars and 60 mph for large trucks
- Below the legal speed limit in some countries
- Potentially could be even lower to reduce false positive emergency braking
- In extreme weather (e.g. ice), maximum speed would be reduced further
- Lower performance enables different engineering trade-offs
- Some elements can be made cheaper and lighter
- Implications for the content per vehicle of Tier 1 suppliers
- Vehicles will need to package protect for step changes in sensor performance
- Vehicles that cannot use the latest generation sensor may find their utilisation reduced (in a similar fashion to obsolete aircraft)


## AV TOP SPEED IS A FUNCTION OF SENSOR / A SET

- Autonomous vehicle top speed will be limited by its ability to detect dangerous situations and stop or react before there is an accident
- In many situations, AVs will be subjected to harsher standards than humans
- Although it is legal for humans to drive at higher speeds in icy conditions with limited visibility, visual range is insufficient to prevent accidents
- This will help AVs to drive more safely than humans
- Top speed can be determined from sensor range, braking distances and safety margins


## DETECTION PERFORMANCE-- STATE OF THE ART

Long Range Radar
Automotive grade long range radar has a usable range of around 250 metres (lower for less metallic surfaces / smaller cross sections)*

Objects with highest reflectivity seen up to 250 metres

Camera -- 5x zoom
(Likely 120fps minimum)


Camera -- 10x zoom

(Likely 240fps minimum)

Camera -- 15x zoom
(Likely 240fps minimum)


## Current Automotive State Of The Art Performance Is A Range Of 250 m .

 Radar Is Currently The Most Reliable For Very Long Ranges In All Weathers.
## LIDAR'S NOT-SO-SECRET WEAKNESS

- Lidar's ability to detect objects is related to their size, material and colour
- Amount of light reflected varies
- 200m range is a common headline but this often relates to easiest conditions only
- Lidar manufacturers are open about this
- More powerful lidar (400m+) exists
- Probably needs more development for everyday automotive application

AMPLITUDE VS. DISTANCE

Amplitude (count)


Although Headline Lidar Detection Ranges Of 200 m Are Commonplace These Often Relate To Best-Case Conditions.

## LIKELY SENSOR SUITE RELIABILITY*

All Weather
Good Weather
Overall Ability (worst case)

|  | All Weather |  |  | Good Weather |  |  | Overall Ability (worst case) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Object Detection | Object <br> Speed <br> Tracking | Object Detection | Object <br> Detection | Object Speed <br> Tracking | Object <br> Detection | Object Detection | Object <br> Speed <br> Tracking | Object Detection |
| $\begin{aligned} & 135 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { to target } \end{aligned}$ | Radar \& Lidar | Radar \& Lidar | Radar \& Lidar | Radar \& Lidar \& Camera |  <br> Camera |  <br>  <br> Camera | Good | Good | Okay |
| 180m to target | Radar \& Lidar |  <br> Lidar | Radar \& Lidar | Radar \& Lidar \& Camera |  <br> Camera | Radar \& Lidar \& Camera | Good | Good | Okay |
| 230m to target | Radar | Radar | Radar | Radar \& Camera | Radar \& Camera | Radar \& Camera | Good | Good | Poor |

* First \& second generation of vehicles (2020-2025)


## All-Weather Object Recognition Is Likely To Be Weak Until The Vehicle Is Within 150 metres of The Target.

## WHY TODAY'S STATE OF THE ART MATTERS

- Artificial intelligence is trained used data that is be representative of reality
- Although sensor range will improve in future (particularly lidar), $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation vehicles will be trained using today's state of the art
- Advances in Al will be required to update the sensor type without compromising the object recognition patterns previously developed
- Today's state of the art still requires development to become production-ready for vehicles covering tens of thousands of miles each year
- Boring things like reliability, power consumption, cost, alignment and protection from the elements still being worked on

> 2020-25 Vehicles Likely To Feature Sensors With Similar Range And Resolution To The Best Offerings Being Demonstrated Today.

## CALCULATING AV SENSOR RANGE REQUIREMENT

- Minimum detection distances are derived for each speed level
- Car braking assumed to be in wet conditions*
- Some products perform (much) better than stopping distances used; but...
- Must assume AV is avoiding a rear end collision with following vehicle that is driven by a human with average performance
- HGV braking distance derived from test data**
- Processing time is calculated as 0.5 seconds
- Safety margin of 2.0 seconds* -- vehicle stops a safe distance from the obstacle


## SPEEDS AND STOPPING DISTANCES -- CAR



## SPEEDS AND STOPPING DISTANCES -- HGV



## THE PROBLEMS WITH LONG RANGE RADAR...

- Long range radar can detect objects and assess their speed but struggles with recognising what the objects are
- Many radars have poor resolution at maximum range (+/-2m at >200m)
- Compounded by differences in reflectivity (metal is easier to spot than flesh)
- Radar can spot a stationary object at long range but may be unable to distinguish between a plastic bag (no problem) and a piece of debris (bad)
- Emergency braking each time a stationary object is detected would lead to excessive false positives
- In practice, AVs are likely to slow but not emergency brake when seeing objects at long range with radar alone
- Further reduces top speed due to longer stopping distances

Long Range Radar Has Poor Object Recognition. Top Speed Lower Than Theoretical Maximum Reduces False Positives.

## Question:

 Isn't moving more slowly a bad thing?Answer:
There are some benefits...

## BENEFITS OF LOWER TOP SPEEDS

- Reduced wear and tear on the road surface
- In an ideal world... leading to lower road taxes (as if)
- Lower road noise too
- Reduced fuel consumption (whether ICE or electric)
- Slower moving vehicles will encounter less air resistance
- Less severe accidents
- Even for uncontrolled mechanical failures, impact velocity will be reduced
- We'll get to appreciate the scenery more
- One downside: slow moving AV trucks could hold up other traffic...


## Question:

It's just too slow for me. Is there anything that can be done to increase speeds?

- Create step changes in sensor performance that increase detection range
- Both radar and lidar have military applications with longer ranges
- Progress may be slow -- radar range increased $\sim 10 \%$ in last decade*
- Improve brakes / tyres to reduce stopping distances from high speed
- Increased chance of human drivers crashing into the back of the AVs (bad!)
- Have different speed limits in dry and wet conditions
- Use vehicle-to-infrastructure communication to guide vehicle position
- Use fixed infrastructure for high speed travel, rather than vehicle's own power - In a hyperloop-type system, a more powerful central control could take over detection and decision making (or simply run with larger gaps)


# Question: How will this affect the design of the vehicles? 

|  | Attribute Choices | Fuel Economy | Weight | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tyres | Further reductions in rolling resistance may be possible | Better | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| Motor (ICE or electric) | Same acceleration (torque) but lower maximum speed (power output) | Unchanged | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Gearbox (if any) | Lower top speed = fewer gears | Unchanged | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Battery (if any) | Lower maximum power draw, reduced size for same range | Better | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Drivetrain | Less extreme loads | Unchanged | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Suspension | Lower vehicle momentum, less extreme loads | Unchanged | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Brakes | Better brakes = shorter stopping distance, higher maximum speed | Unchanged | Unchanged | Increased |
| Body - Chassis | Less strength required due to lower weight of other componentry | Better | Lighter | Cheaper |
| Body -- Crash Structure | Strong rear crash structure (human drivers keep driving into the back) | Worse | Heavier | Increased |
| Aerodynamics | Subject to lower maximum speeds and less air resistance | Better | Unchanged | Unchanged |

Note: list above excludes AV sensors and processing equipment - will make vehicle heavier and more expensive
If Vehicles Are Created With Maximum Speeds Governed By Detection Distances Then Different Design Choices Can Be Made.
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## ABOUT AD PUNCTUM

- Consultancy and research firm founded by an ex-automotive OEM insider
- Ad Punctum researches emerging trends and key issues ranging from the disruptive impact of on-demand mobility to Brexit
- Periodically publishes relevant research to make it freely available and drive understanding and debate on interesting topics
- Please contact sales@adpunctum.co.uk or visit www.adpunctum.co.uk to learn more about us and discuss any specific queries you might have


## WHAT WON'T HELP IMPROVE PEFORMANCE...

- Increase the gap between vehicles, so there is a bigger safety margin
- But if the vehicle can't see the one in front, how does it know it is safe?
- Reduce safety margins
- Unlikely without regulatory blessing, and why would they give it?
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